

Why "Pahlavism" Still Matters in Iran's Political Future

[Professor Siavosh Kaviani](#)

[Kavian Scientific Research Association](#)

Digital Object Identifier: 10.5281/zenodo.17648137



Kaviani, Siavosh. (2025). *Why "Pahlavism" Still Matters in Iran's*

Political Future. Association for Kaviani Research Studies. Zenodo.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17648137>

Abstract

This article re-examines *Pahlavism* as a political ideology within the modern history of Iran and its relevance for contemporary debates about the country's future. While scholarly literature on Iran has predominantly focused on Islamism or republicanism, the ideological framework associated with the Pahlavi dynasty (1925–1979) remains understudied. Pahlavism may be defined as a state-centered vision of secular modernization, Iranian nationalism, women's emancipation, and cultural revivalism, pursued through top-down reforms under Reza Shah and Mohammad Reza Shah. Drawing on historical analysis, archival studies, and secondary scholarship, this paper argues that Pahlavism was not merely monarchical rule but a distinctive ideological project aimed at constructing a modern nation-state.

The study further explores how Pahlavism continues to resonate in the post-1979 era. Protest slogans, diaspora discourses, and renewed interest in Iran's pre-Islamic heritage illustrate its persistence as an alternative political identity in opposition to theocratic governance. By situating Pahlavism within comparative frameworks of political ideology, this article highlights both its achievements and limitations, including modernization alongside authoritarianism. The conclusion emphasizes that understanding Pahlavism is crucial not only for historical accuracy but also for assessing the full spectrum of ideas shaping Iran's possible political trajectories in the twenty-first century.

Keywords: Pahlavism, Iran, Political Ideology, Secular Modernization, Nationalism, Middle Eastern Politics

Literature Review

Scholarly work on modern Iran has long centered on Islamism and revolutionary dynamics, but a substantial strand of historical and political scholarship examines the Pahlavi period as a state-building and modernizing project that articulated a coherent—if uncoded—ideological package. Ervand Abrahamian's *A History of Modern Iran* provides one of the most widely used syntheses, arguing that the Pahlavi state pursued rapid top-down modernization, centralization, and nation-building while also entrenching authoritarian rule—an ambivalence that shaped both its achievements and its vulnerabilities on the eve of 1979. [Cambridge University Press & Assessment+2Cambridge Assets+2](#)

Military and institutional consolidation as the backbone of early Pahlavi statecraft has been detailed by Stephanie Cronin, who shows how the army and security apparatus were instrumental to forging a centralized nation-state between 1910 and 1926. Cronin's work foregrounds coercive capacity and administrative reform as core to the regime's ideology of order and modernization. [Middle East Forum+2onesearch.library.wvu.edu+2](#)

Biographical and policy-focused accounts of Mohammad Reza Shah often stress the regime's developmental ambitions alongside its authoritarian practices. Gholam Reza Afkhami's comprehensive biography highlights the Shah's strategic vision and the White Revolution's reformist arc (land, literacy, health, and women's franchise), while acknowledging the political constraints and unintended consequences that followed. [University of California Press+1](#)

On social reform—especially gender—Camron Michael Amin and Hamideh Sedghi document how state policies recast women's roles as part of a modernist nation-building project. Amin traces the interplay between state policy, print culture, and memory in the formation of the “modern Iranian woman” prior to 1946; Sedghi situates unveiling (1936–1979) and later revealing after 1979 within a longer contest over state power and gender, underscoring how Pahlavi reforms made women's emancipation both a symbol and instrument of secular modernization. [sssup.it+3upf.com+3Distant Reader+3](#)

The agrarian dimension of the White Revolution receives critical scrutiny in Eric Hooglund's classic study, which argues that land reform primarily aimed to break landlord dominance and extend central state authority, producing mixed socioeconomic outcomes and new rural grievances. This reading nuances celebratory depictions by emphasizing political motives and structural limits of implementation. [University of Texas Press+2Google Books+2](#)

Cultural nation-making—especially the revival and re-signification of pre-Islamic symbols—has been analyzed by Talinn Grigor, who demonstrates how architecture, heritage policy, and monumentalization under both Pahlavi monarchs fostered a secular, pre-Islamic inflected national identity. Her work helps crystallize “cultural revivalism” as a constitutive plank of the Pahlavi ideological project, complementing accounts that focus on bureaucracy, economy, or security. [scholarworks.brandeis.edu+2title name+2](#)

General histories by Ali M. Ansari, Homa Katouzian, Nikki R. Keddie, and Michael Axworthy supply broader interpretive frames. Ansari situates Pahlavi modernization within Iran's long struggle to respond to Western power; Katouzian's *longue-durée* perspective emphasizes cycles of arbitrary rule and social instability that conditioned reform; Keddie highlights socioeconomic change and opposition networks leading to revolution; Axworthy's treatment of the Islamic Republic underscores how revolutionary ideology defined itself partly against the Pahlavi secular-nationalist model. Together, these works position “Pahlavism” within competing narratives of Iranian modernity. [Oxford University Press+6Internet Archive+6Amazon+6](#)

Across this literature, points of convergence include: (1) the centrality of **secular modernization** and **state centralization** as regime goals; (2) the use of **cultural heritage** and **nationalism** to legitimate rapid change; and (3) the tension between developmental reform and **authoritarian governance**. Points of contention concern the depth of social transformation (especially in the countryside), the degree of popular buy-in, and whether reforms generated the very coalitions that later opposed the monarchy. Amin, Sedghi, and Hooglund stress limits, exclusions, and unevenness; Afkhami and some developmental accounts stress capacity building and long-term institutional effects.

For the purposes of this article, the review suggests that *Pahlavism* can be analytically reconstructed from the intersection of these strands: a statist ideology of secular modernization and cultural revivalism, articulated through military-bureaucratic consolidation and reformist social policy, and contested by religious and republican opponents. This reconstruction is consistent with the historical record while allowing evaluation of contemporary resonances without collapsing into nostalgia or reductionism. [Cambridge University Press & Assessment+2Middle East Forum+2](#)

Methodology

This study employs a **qualitative historical-analytical methodology** to examine *Pahlavism* as an ideological construct and assess its contemporary relevance. The approach combines **historical analysis**, **discourse analysis**, and **comparative political theory** in order to reconstruct Pahlavism as a coherent ideological framework and to situate it within broader debates on Iranian modernity.

First, a **historical analysis** was conducted through the review of primary and secondary sources. Primary sources include state documents, speeches, and policy programs from the Pahlavi era, such as the White Revolution initiatives and Reza Shah's legal reforms. Secondary sources include peer-reviewed journal articles, monographs, and scholarly biographies of the Pahlavi monarchs.

Second, **discourse analysis** was applied to cultural and political narratives—such as education reforms, women's emancipation policies, and heritage projects—that articulated the values of secularism, nationalism, and modernization. This allowed the identification of ideological patterns even in the absence of an explicitly codified manifesto.

Third, a **comparative framework** was used to position Pahlavism alongside rival ideologies in Iran—namely Islamism and republican secularism. This comparative lens clarifies Pahlavism's distinctive

features: its statist character, reliance on top-down modernization, and emphasis on cultural revival.

The methodology is **interpretive rather than quantitative**, focusing on the synthesis of historical evidence and scholarly interpretations. While acknowledging limitations in archival access and biases in historiography, the study emphasizes triangulation across diverse sources to mitigate partiality.

Methodology

This study employs a **qualitative historical-analytical methodology** to examine *Pahlavism* as an ideological construct and to assess its contemporary relevance. The approach combines **historical analysis**, **discourse analysis**, and **comparative political theory** in order to reconstruct Pahlavism as a coherent ideological framework and to situate it within broader debates on Iranian modernity.

First, a **historical analysis** was conducted through the review of primary and secondary sources. Primary sources include state documents, speeches, and policy programs from the Pahlavi era, such as the White Revolution initiatives and Reza Shah's legal reforms. Secondary sources include peer-reviewed journal articles, monographs, and scholarly biographies of the Pahlavi monarchs.

Second, **discourse analysis** was applied to cultural and political narratives—such as education reforms, women's emancipation policies, and heritage projects—that articulated the values of secularism, nationalism, and modernization. This allowed the identification of ideological patterns even in the absence of an explicitly codified manifesto.

Third, a **comparative framework** was used to position Pahlavism alongside rival ideologies in Iran—namely Islamism and republican secularism. This comparative lens clarifies Pahlavism's distinctive features: its statist character, reliance on top-down modernization, and emphasis on cultural revival.

Limitations of the Study

Several limitations must be acknowledged. First, **archival access** remains restricted, particularly to state documents from the Pahlavi era that are either classified or politically sensitive in Iran. Second, much of the existing scholarship is shaped by **ideological bias**—Western Cold War perspectives, Islamist polemics, or nostalgic monarchist accounts—requiring careful triangulation to avoid partial interpretations. Third, the absence of a formal *Pahlavist manifesto* means that the ideology must be reconstructed from policies and practices rather than textual doctrine, which risks interpretive subjectivity. Finally, the analysis is **qualitative rather than quantitative**, and thus its findings should be understood as interpretive insights rather than empirical generalizations.

Despite these constraints, triangulating diverse sources and applying comparative political analysis provides a balanced and academically rigorous framework for reassessing the ideological significance of Pahlavism.

Findings and Analysis

This section presents the historical and analytical findings of the study. The aim is twofold: first, to reconstruct the core components of *Pahlavism* as an ideological framework; and second, to evaluate its contemporary resonance in Iran and among the diaspora.

1. Historical Foundations of Pahlavism

The roots of Pahlavism lie in the reforms of **Reza Shah (1925–1941)**, who established a centralized state, curtailed tribal autonomy, expanded secular education, and pursued infrastructural modernization. His policies, including the unveiling decree (1936) and judicial secularization, institutionalized a statist vision of secular modernity. **Mohammad Reza Shah (1941–1979)** consolidated these foundations through the **White Revolution (1963)**, which introduced land reform, women's suffrage, and literacy campaigns. While both monarchs ruled in authoritarian contexts, their reforms reflected a consistent ideological orientation toward modernization and national integration.

2. Core Ideological Elements of Pahlavism

Analysis of policies and discourse reveals four interrelated pillars of Pahlavism:

- **Secular Modernization:** Replacement of clerical influence with state-led legal, educational, and economic institutions.
- **Iranian Nationalism:** Construction of a unitary national identity rooted in both pre-Islamic heritage (Cyrus the Great, Persepolis) and Persian language promotion.
- **Women's Emancipation:** Progressive legal reforms, access to education, suffrage rights, and symbolic centrality of women in modernization.
- **Cultural Revivalism:** Architectural and cultural policies that emphasized pre-Islamic continuity as a source of legitimacy for a modern state.

These components, while not codified in a doctrinal text, amounted to a coherent state ideology that differentiated Pahlavism from both republican secularism and religious populism.

3. Critiques and Limitations

Despite its modernizing achievements, Pahlavism faced significant criticisms:

- **Authoritarianism:** Concentration of power in the monarchy and suppression of political pluralism limited societal participation.
- **Unequal Development:** Land reforms and industrial policies had uneven impact, often exacerbating rural-urban divides.
- **Cultural Imposition:** Critics argue that top-down reforms, such as unveiling, alienated segments of society by disregarding gradual social negotiation.
- **Dependence on the West:** Some scholars argue that alignment with Western powers undermined the regime's nationalist claims.

These limitations not only weakened Pahlavism's legitimacy but also contributed to the coalition of opposition forces that culminated in the 1979 Revolution.

4. Contemporary Resonance of Pahlavism

Findings indicate that Pahlavism remains significant in Iran's political imagination for three reasons:

- **Protest Symbolism:** Slogans invoking "Reza Shah" in recent uprisings signal popular disillusionment with theocracy and renewed appreciation for secular order.
- **Diaspora Politics:** Among exiled communities, Pahlavism serves as a unifying discourse against both Islamism and fragmented republican visions.
- **Identity Reconstruction:** The celebration of Iran's pre-Islamic heritage resonates strongly with younger generations seeking cultural pride beyond the Islamic Republic's ideology.

Thus, Pahlavism is not merely nostalgia for monarchy but continues to function as a living ideological alternative in Iran's contested political future.

Discussion

The findings of this study suggest that *Pahlavism* constitutes a distinct ideological current in modern Iranian history, one that continues to resonate despite the collapse of the monarchy in 1979. Unlike Islamism, which grounds political legitimacy in divine authority, or republican secularism, which emphasizes participatory democracy, Pahlavism prioritizes **state-led modernization, national unity, and cultural revivalism**. This comparative framework helps situate Pahlavism as a "third path" in Iran's political spectrum.

Pahlavism vs. Islamism

Islamism, institutionalized by the Islamic Republic after 1979, has emphasized religious authority, clerical dominance, and cultural Islamization. In contrast, Pahlavism sought to marginalize clerical power and elevate secular institutions as the backbone of national progress. The stark opposition between these two ideologies partly explains why

contemporary protests invoke the Pahlavi name as a symbolic rejection of theocratic governance.

Pahlavism vs. Republican Secularism

Republican movements in Iran, particularly during the constitutional period (1905–1911) and in opposition groups abroad, have advanced liberal or socialist visions of democracy. While sharing the secular dimension, these ideologies differ from Pahlavism in their emphasis on bottom-up participation and political pluralism. Pahlavism, by contrast, was inherently **statist and top-down**, valuing order, rapid modernization, and central authority over participatory mechanisms.

Contemporary Relevance and Reinterpretation

The return of Pahlavism to political discourse does not necessarily imply a literal restoration of monarchy. Rather, it reflects the **re-appropriation of its ideological values**—secularism, nationalism, and modernization—as tools for envisioning a post-theocratic Iran. In this sense, Pahlavism today functions as a symbolic and strategic framework rather than a rigid political blueprint.

Broader Implications

Recognizing Pahlavism's ideological role has two broader implications. First, it expands scholarly debates on Iranian modernity beyond the binary of Islamism versus republicanism. Second, it alerts policymakers and observers in the West that Iranian political identity is more diverse than commonly assumed. Ignoring the ideological endurance of Pahlavism risks overlooking one of the forces shaping Iran's contested political future.

Conclusion

This article has argued that *Pahlavism* should be understood not merely as the political program of a deposed monarchy, but as a coherent ideological project that combined **secular modernization, Iranian nationalism, women's emancipation, and cultural revivalism**. Historical evidence demonstrates that both Reza Shah and Mohammad Reza Shah sought to institutionalize these principles through state-building, social reform, and cultural policy. While the authoritarian methods of implementation limited its legitimacy and contributed to the

coalition of forces that brought about the 1979 Revolution, the ideological content of Pahlavism continues to endure.

The study shows that Pahlavism retains relevance today in at least three domains: as a symbol in popular protests against the Islamic Republic, as a unifying discourse within the Iranian diaspora, and as a source of cultural pride for younger generations seeking an identity beyond religious ideology. In comparative perspective, Pahlavism offers a distinct framework that differs both from Islamism and from liberal republicanism, underscoring the plural nature of Iran's political traditions.

Understanding Pahlavism is therefore essential not only for historical accuracy but also for anticipating potential trajectories in Iran's political future. For scholars, it widens the analytical lens of Iranian studies; for policymakers, it highlights the importance of engaging with ideological diversity rather than assuming a binary opposition between Islamism and democracy.

Future research should deepen the analysis of primary archival materials, explore the sociological reception of Pahlavist policies across different classes and regions, and assess how reinterpretations of Pahlavism might inform emerging movements in contemporary Iran.

In conclusion, while the monarchy it supported has been overthrown, the ideological legacy of Pahlavism remains very much alive—an enduring strand of Iranian modernity that continues to shape debates over the nation's identity and political direction in the twenty-first century.

References

- Abrahamian, E. (2008). *A history of modern Iran*. Cambridge University Press.
- Afkhami, G. R. (2009). *The life and times of the Shah*. University of California Press.
- Amin, C. M. (2002). *The making of the modern Iranian woman: Gender, state policy, and popular culture, 1865–1946*. University Press of Florida.
- Ansari, A. M. (2003). *Modern Iran since 1921: The Pahlavis and after*. Pearson Education.
- Axworthy, M. (2013). *Revolutionary Iran: A history of the Islamic Republic*. Oxford University Press.
- Cronin, S. (2007). *Tribal politics in Iran: Rural conflict and the new state, 1921–1941*. Routledge.
- Grigor, T. (2009). *Building Iran: Modernism, architecture, and national heritage under the Pahlavi monarchs*. Prestel.
- Hooglund, E. (1982). *Land and revolution in Iran, 1960–1980*. University of Texas Press.
- Katouzian, H. (2003). *Iranian history and politics: The dialectic of state and society*. Routledge.
- Keddie, N. R. (2006). *Modern Iran: Roots and results of revolution* (Updated ed.). Yale University Press.
- Sedghi, H. (2007). *Women and politics in Iran: Veiling, unveiling, and reveiling*. Cambridge University Press.